

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7  
8  
9

10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

WILLIAMS-SONOMA INC., a  
California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.  
ESTYLE INC., dba BABYSTYLE, INC.,  
a California corporation,

Defendant.

No. C 06-01990 WHA

**REMINDER NOTICE OF  
UPCOMING TRIAL AND FINAL  
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE**

This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a **FINAL  
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE** on **JUNE 4, 2007**, at **2:00 P.M.**, with a **JURY TRIAL** on **JUNE 18, 2007**.

Please consult the existing case management order and review and follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's website at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>. Continuances will rarely be granted.

The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including *in limine* orders, time limits and exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.

To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1 reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed  
2 agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a  
3 fully-executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire  
4 case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial act  
5 remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure  
6 pending a formal dismissal.

7       In order to evaluate whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance, please file a  
8 joint report within fourteen days of service of this order describing the progress and status of  
9 your ADR efforts to date and any further ADR recommendations by the parties.

10      In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures and  
11 desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and how to use  
12 them:

- 13           1.     Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order;  
14           2.     Giving preliminary instructions on the law;  
15           3.     Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, *e.g.*, Rule 39 of  
16           the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and  
17           4.     Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to be  
18           used during the evidence time (in addition to normal opening statement and  
19           closing argument).

20      Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference  
21 submissions.

22  
23  
24 Dated: January 10, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

25  
26  
27  
28